

Figure 8: Distribution of the Laurel complex.




Figure 9: Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the Laurel complex (Anfinson radiocarbon database; Rajnovich 2003:Tables 5 and 6). Calibrated using Calib 5.01; solid bar represents 1 sigma, open bar 2 sigmas. (No dates are on pottery residues).




Figure 10: Distribution of the Fox Lake complex.




Figure 11: Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the Fox Lake and Lake Benton complexes (Anfinson radiocarbon database; Schoen 2002). Calibrated using Calib 5.01; solid bar represents 1 sigma, open bar 2 sigmas. (No dates are on pottery residues.) Dates consistently attributed to a complex are indicated as such: FL=Fox Lake; LB=Lake Benton; others were designated in the archaeological literature as simply Woodland or only tentatively attributed to either complex.




Figure 12: Distribution of the Lake Benton complex.




Figure 13: Distribution of the Central Minnesota Transitional Woodland complex (St. Croix and Onamia ceramics).




Figure 14: Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the Central Minnesota Transitional Woodland complex (Anfinson 2008 radiocarbon database). Calibrated using Calib 5.01; solid bar represents 1 sigma, open bar 2 sigmas. Dates on pottery residues are indicated by an asterisk *. Dates associated with a particular ceramic type are so noted.




Figure 15: Distribution of the Southeast Minnesota Late Woodland complex, and the northern distribution of single-cord impressed ceramics.




Figure 16: Distribution of the Blackduck-Kathio and Rainy River Late Woodland complexes (as marked by Blackduck, Kathio, and Selkirk ceramics).




Figure 17: Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the Blackduck-Kathio and Rainy River Late Woodland complexes (Anfinson radiocarbon database; Lenius and Olinyk 1990; Rapp et al. 1995; Thomas and Mather 1996). Calibrated using Calib 5.01; solid bar represents 1 sigma, open bar 2 sigmas. Dates on pottery residues are indicated by asterisk*. Dates from pottery scrapings from Hannaford appear to be over a hundred years earlier than the charcoal dates from comparable components (Rapp et al. 1995:Table 7.1) and were not used for establishing the timeline.




Figure 18: Distribution of the Psinomani complex.




Figure 19: Calibrated radiocarbon dates for the Psinomani complex. All are associated with Sandy Lake pottery except where specifically identified as Ogechie (Anfinson radiocarbon database). Calibrated using Calib 5.01; solid bar represents 1 sigma, open bar 2 sigmas. Dates on pottery residues are indicated by an asterisk *.